

Pakistan Information Commission

Government Of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No 4758-05/2025

Sakina Munawar

Vs

Federal Service Tribunal (FST)

September 16, 2025

None appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. In view of the order of the Commission dated 05-08-25, the public body furnished partial information vide letter dated 06-08-25 and explained its position with respect to the remaining information, as it does not concern the respondent/public body.

2. The Commission shared the said information with the applicant vide letter dated 29-08-2025 under RGL No. 154260953. No objection has been received from the applicant. It appears that the applicant is satisfied with the response of the public body. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government Of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No 4303-12/2024

Dr. Fazal Hameed

Vs

Institute of Space Technology

September 16, 2025

Farhan Khalid Advocate, Junior Counsel of Ishfaq Ahmed Khan Advocate has appeared on behalf of the applicant.

1. Written reply was furnished by the public body on 30-04-25 and copy of the same was handed over to Ishfaq Ahmed Khan, Advocate Counsel for the applicant, and the date of hearing was fixed for 12-06-25. On the said date, no rejoinder was filed and another adjournment was sought, which was granted, but until now no rejoinder has been filed. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government Of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No 4837-07/2025

Ali Khan

Vs

National Database and Registration Authority (NADRA)

September 16, 2025

Muhammad Hammad, Assistant Director, NADRA appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. The public body, vide letter dated 05-08-2025, furnished written reply along with the required information. It was shared with the applicant, who acknowledged its receipt but expressed dissatisfaction vide his rejoinder dated 18-08-24. Reply to the rejoinder has been furnished today by the representative of the public body.
2. The Commission has examined the information request, reply of the public body, rejoinder of the applicant, and the reply there over.
3. As far as the objection of the applicant to query No. 1 is concerned, the representative of the public body has furnished certified copy of the request of the applicant dated 24-06-25, and the said query stands resolved.
4. Regarding the objection of the applicant in respect of query No. 2 of the information request, the representative of the public body has submitted in black and white that no order was passed by the Chairman NADRA, as it related to some lower level.
5. Regarding the objection related to query No. 3 of the information request, it is submitted by the public body that the applicant stated before the court at the President Secretariat that he would provide all the evidence before NADRA for the settlement of his case. However, the applicant did not approach NADRA for putting his personal appearance. Therefore, this query is resolved to the effect that if the applicant appears before the concerned authority of NADRA, his grievance will be resolved.
6. In view of above, no further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government Of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No 4761-06/2025

Shahid Haider

Vs

Ministry of Energy (Power Division)

September 16, 2025

Zafar Ullah Khan Law Officer, Ms. Rubab Fatima appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. On the last date of hearing, the public body submitted that the public body is ready to share the required information subject to the payment of the photocopying charges.

2. In compliance of the order dated 13-08-2025, copy of the challan for the payment of photocopying charges was sent to the applicant vide letter dated 21-06-2025. However, the applicant has failed to submit any proof of payment of the photocopying charges so far. In view of the above, the applicant is directed to submit the photocopying charges within 10 days, if he so desires to obtain the required information, and submit the fee deposit slip to the Commission as well as to the public body. The public body is directed to furnish the required information to the applicant if he submits the deposit slip within 10 days.

3. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government Of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No 4555-03/2025

Aamir Baloch

Vs

Federal Public Service Commission (FPSC)

September 16, 2025

None appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. The public body, vide letter dated 26-05-2025, furnished answers to all the queries of the applicant, and copy of the said reply was shared with the applicant, who acknowledged its receipt by filing a rejoinder dated 11-09-25, objecting that the answers of the public body in respect of queries 1, 2, 3, and 5 are not satisfactory. Moreover, it was added that the plea of the public body that the said record has been classified vide SRO No. 1088(1)/2022 dated 21-07-22 is without any lawful justification and does not qualify the requirements of Section 7(f) of the Right of Access to Information Act, 2017, as no reasoning has been mentioned in the said SRO.

2. The Commission has examined the information request, reply of the public body, rejoinder of the applicant, and the reply thereto.

3. The public body, vide letter dated 19-08-25, has also sent the Commission copy of an order passed by this Commission on 11-03-25 in Appeal No. 4136-10/24 titled *Sana Javid vs. FPSC*, whereby the Commission accepted the above-mentioned SRO dated 21-07-22 with the conclusion that the said SRO is supported by Section 16(i)(iv) of the Right of Access to Information Act, 2017, which relates to the effectiveness of the testing procedure used by the public body. The Commission has again examined the relevant provisions of the Right of Access to Information Act, 2017, i.e., Sections 6, 7, and 16.

4. First of all, it is observed that the information required at Serial No. 1, 2, 3, and 5 does not qualify the test of Section 6, which declares certain documents as public record, as the said question/information is not mentioned in the said section. Even otherwise, merely falling under Section 6 does not automatically entitle the citizen to seek such information, because the provisions of Section 6 of the Right of Access to Information Act, 2017, are subject to Section 7. Section 7(f) provides that nothing contained in Section 6 shall apply to the records declared as classified by the Minister-in-Charge of the Federal Government.

5. In the present case, the Prime Minister, being the Minister-in-Charge, has declared certain documents classified. The objection of the applicant that no reasoning has been mentioned in the SRO is based on

the first proviso of Section 7(f) of the Right of Access to Information Act, 2017. In this behalf, the Commission has noted and clarified that it has already accepted the said SRO in the appeal mentioned above.

6. Furthermore, under Section 16(i)(iv) of the Right of Access to Information Act, 2017, the information required by the applicant enjoys exemption, as its disclosure would undermine the effectiveness of the testing or auditing procedure used by the public body. In view of the above, the objections raised by the applicant are turned down.

7. In view of above, no further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government Of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No 4531-02/2025

Sardar Imran Hayat

Vs

Islamabad Electric Supply Company (IESCO)

September 16, 2025

None appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. On the complaint of the applicant, the matter was referred to Mr. Irfan Bashir, Assistant Director, PIC, for handling an inquiry into the allegation of furnishing false information.

2. The Inquiry Officer conducted the inquiry and found that Mr. Sardar Ali Khan functions as a commission-based Sales Manager rather than a regular employee of the State Life Insurance Corporation. The earlier confusion regarding his employment classification can be attributed to an outdated reference code and miscommunication in official documentation.

3. In view of the said report, no penal action is required. If the applicant finds grounds to pursue the matter, he may process it at the appropriate forum.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government Of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No 4702-05/2025

Sheikh Humayun Nazir

Vs

Institute of Chartered Accounts of Pakistan

September 16, 2025 Applicant present in person. Malik Haroon Advocate present of behalf of the public body.

1. The applicant is agitating the delay in deciding the matter due to the lack of response from the public body. When confronted with this situation, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the public body submitted at bar that:

“An inquiry committee was constituted on complaint of the applicant, which contacted the applicant telephonically and via email; however, the applicant did not join the proceedings, hence the complaint was dropped.”

2. In view of this categorical statement at bar by the learned counsel for the public body, when the information does not exist, no directions can be passed to the public body for furnishing the same. Hence, the appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government Of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No 4457-01/2025

Syed Kausar Abbas

Vs

Islamabad Police

September 16, 2025

Farrukh Habib, Inspector (Legal), Islamabad Police appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. Information furnished by the public body was shared with the applicant vide letter dated 25-07-2025 under RGL No. 154260042. No objection has been received from the applicant. It appears that the applicant is satisfied with the response of the public body. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government Of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No 3760-07/2024

Sarfaraz A. Bhatti

Vs

Capital Development Authority (CDA)

September 16, 2025

Applicant present in person. Ms. Tayyaba Anum Advocate appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. The Assistant Director of this office has conducted an inquiry and stated that traceability of necessary documents by CDA, and while the applicant has made claims against the authority, the evidence presented does not adequately support these claims. The conclusion of the inquiry report was read out to the applicant and the learned counsel for the public body.

2. The applicant states that he is still not satisfied with the response of the public body and he believes that the record exists and the public body must come up with a categorical response about its status, i.e., whether destroyed or lost. The learned counsel has provided a solution to the applicant to file an application for reconstruction of the record before the Chairman, CDA, and the applicant also agrees with it. Hence, the matter stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner